

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/697,916 | SPEARE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Carl Colin | 2136 | |

All Participants:

(1) Carl Colin.

(2) Jens Jenkins.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 15, 29, and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative, Jens Jenkins and suggested that claims 15 and 37 should be further amended to incorporate some of the claim language of amended claim 1 and some of the previous limitations that were present in claim 15 before the amendment filed on 10/27/2006. Examiner is authorized by Applicant's Representative to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment..